### JOM 23505

## Organometallic chemistry of diphosphazanes

# VIII \*. Synthesis, spectroscopic studies and crystal structure of $fac-[Mo(CO)_3(MeCN){Ph_2PN(^iPr)P(Ph)(DMP)}]$ \*\*, and its reactions with tertiary phosphines

## R.P. Kamalesh Babu, S.S. Krishnamurthy and M. Nethaji

Department of Inorganic and Physical Chemistry, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore-560 012 (India) (Received August 7, 1992)

#### Abstract

The reaction of fac-[Mo(CO)<sub>3</sub>(MeCN)<sub>3</sub>] with the unsymmetrical diphosphazane Ph<sub>2</sub>PN(<sup>i</sup>Pr)P(Ph)(DMP) (L) gives the complex fac-[Mo(CO)<sub>3</sub>(MeCN)(L)] (2) in almost quantitative yield. The structure of the complex has been determined by an X-ray diffraction study. The compound reacts with PR<sub>3</sub> (where R = Ph, OPh) to give fac-[Mo(CO)<sub>3</sub>(PR<sub>3</sub>)(L)] (3a, 4a), which undergoes an intramolecular isomerization to afford *mer*-[Mo(CO)<sub>3</sub>(PR<sub>3</sub>)(L)] (3b, 4b). Synthesis of *cis*-[Mo(CO)<sub>4</sub>(L)] (1) and *fac*-[Mo(CO)<sub>3</sub>L] (2a) and their spectroscopic data are also reported.

#### 1. Introduction

Diphosphazanes have attracted considerable attention in recent years as "short-bite" ligands in transition metal organometallic chemistry comparable in their versatility to the analogous bis-(phosphino)methanetype ligands such as dppm [1–3]. The method of synthesis used for diphosphazanes [4] lends itself to facile incorporation of other donor atoms in the ligand. We report below the synthesis of a new unsymmetrical diphosphazane *viz*. Ph<sub>2</sub>PN(<sup>i</sup>Pr)P(Ph)(DMP) (L), potentially a tridentate PPN donor ligand, and its molybdenum carbonyl complexes *cis*-[Mo(CO)<sub>4</sub>L] (1) and *fac*-[Mo(CO)<sub>3</sub>(MeCN)(L)] (2) and *fac*-[Mo(CO)<sub>3</sub>(L)] (2a). The structure of 2, as determined by X-ray crystallography, reveals bidentate coordination of the diphosphazane ligand through its phosphorus centres,

Correspondence to: Prof. S.S. Krishnamurthy.

and the presence of a labile MeCN ligand bonded to the metal, which can be readily replaced by a tertiary phosphine ligand  $PPh_3$  or  $P(OPh)_3$ .

#### 2. Experimental details

All manipulations were carried out under dry dinitrogen by standard Schlenk-tube techniques. Solvents were purified by standard methods. The unsymmetrical diphosphazane Ph<sub>2</sub>PN(<sup>i</sup>Pr)P(Ph)(DMP) (L) was prepared by the reaction of Ph<sub>2</sub>PN(<sup>i</sup>Pr)PPhCl [4] with 3,5-dimethylpyrazole in boiling benzene in the presence of triethylamine [5\*]. The complexes cis- $[Mo(CO)_4(NHC_5H_{10})_2]$  and  $fac-[Mo(CO)_3(MeCN)_3]$ were prepared by published procedures [6, 7]. The NMR spectra were recorded as reported previously [8]. IR spectra were obtained with a Hitachi 750-50 spectrometer. C, H and N analyses were carried out with a Heraeus CHN-O Rapid instrument. The FAB-mass spectrum was recorded at 25°C on a JEOL SX 102/DA-6000 Mass Spectrometer/Data system using argon (6 KV, 10 mA) as the FAB gas and m-nitrobenzyl alcohol as the matrix. Only the most intense ions are reported.

<sup>\*</sup> Part VII, M.S. Balakrishna, R. Murugavel, S.S. Krishnamurthy, I.I. Mathews and M. Nethaji, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., (1993) 477.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Abbreviations used: DMP = 3,5-dimethyl, 1-pyrazolyl; dppm = bis(diphenylphosphino)methane.

#### 3. Syntheses

#### 3.1. $cis-[Mo(CO)_{d}(L)]$ (1)

A mixture of cis-[Mo(CO)<sub>4</sub>(NHC<sub>5</sub>H<sub>10</sub>)<sub>2</sub>] (0.20 g, 5.3  $\times 10^{-4}$  mol) and the ligand L (0.24 g,  $5.4 \times 10^{-4}$  mol) was dissolved in 30 mL of dichloromethane and the solution was stirred for 30 min. Evaporation of the solvent, followed by crystallization of the residue from dichloromethane-petrol mixture (1:1), yielded 1 as a pale yellow solid. (Yield 0.27 g, 80%). M.p. 160°C (with dec.) Anal. Found: C. 55.5; H, 4.6; N, 6.8. C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>20</sub>-MoN<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>P<sub>3</sub> calc.: C, 55.2; H, 4.5; N, 6.4%. IR (Nujol):  $\nu$ (CO) 2030s, 1920s, 1910b cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 7.26-8.18 (m, Ph); 6.06 (s, CH-DMP); 3.92 (m, CH-<sup>i</sup>Pr); 2.43 (s, Me-DMP); 2.26 (s, Me-DMP); 1.22 (d,  ${}^{3}J_{111} = 7$  Hz, Me- ${}^{i}$ Pr); 0.05 (d,  ${}^{3}J_{111} = 7$  Hz, Me-<sup>i</sup>Pr). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 219.1 (dd, 10, J(PC) = 25.5Hz, CO), 218.1 (dd, 9.9, J(PC) = 29 Hz, CO), 214.4 (t, J(PC) = 9 Hz, CO), 207.2 (t, J(PC) = 8 Hz, CO).

#### 3.2. $fac-[Mo(CO)_3(MeCN)(L)]$ (2)

A solution of L (0.88 g,  $2.0 \times 10^{-3}$  mol) in 25 mL of acetonitrile was added dropwise to a solution of fac-[Mo(CO)<sub>3</sub>(MeCN)<sub>3</sub>] (prepared in situ by heating  $Mo(CO)_6$  (0.5 g,  $1.9 \times 10^{-3}$  mol) in 20 mL of MeCN for 24 h) at 45°C. The mixture was stirred for 30 min, concentrated in vacuo to 20 mL, and kept at -10°C overnight to give the title compound (2) as pale yellow crystal (Yield 0.97 g, 77%). M.p. 180°C (with dec.). Anal. Found C, 55.5; H, 4.9; N, 8.5. C 31H 32 MoN4O3P2 calc.: C, 55.9; H, 4.8; N, 8.4%. IR (Nujol): v(CO) 1938s, 1857s, 1791s cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 7.19-8.3 (m, Ph); 5.9 (s, CH-DMP); 3.8 (m, CH-<sup>i</sup>Pr); 2.37 (s, Me-DMP); 2.21 (s, Me-DMP); 2.19 (s, Me-MeCN); 1.15 (d,  ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 6.9$  Hz, Me- ${}^{i}$ Pr); 0.01 (d,  ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 6.9$ Hz, Me-<sup>i</sup>Pr). Even traces of moisture in acetonitrile solvent lowered the yield of 2, and led to the formation of substantial quantities of 1.

#### 3.3. $fac-[Mo(CO)_3(L)]$ (2a)

#### 3.3.1. Method A

A solution of 2 (0.10 g,  $1.5 \times 10^{-4}$  mol) in 20 mL of heptane was heated under reflux for 1 h. The title compound separated as a pale yellow solid, which was filtered off and washed with heptane (Yield 0.019 g, 20%). M.p. 170°C (with dec.). Mass spectral data: m/z = 627,  $[Mo(CO)_3(L)]^+$  (37); m/z = 571,  $[Mo(CO)(L)]^+$  (100); m/z = 543,  $[Mo(L)]^+$  (94). IR (Nujol):  $\nu$ (CO) 1925s, 1832s, 1814s cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>): 6.8–7.9 (m, Ph); 5.45 (s, CH-DMP); 3.4 (m, CH-<sup>1</sup>Pr); 2.25 (s, Me-DMP); 1.94 (s, Me-DMP); 0.87 (d, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>1HI</sub> = 4 Hz, Me-<sup>1</sup>Pr); 0.84 (d, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>1HI</sub> = 4 Hz, Me-<sup>1</sup>Pr).

#### 3.3.2. Method B

A suspension of  $\mathbf{I}$  [0.20 g,  $3.06 \times 10^{-4}$  mol] in 25 mL of heptane was heated under reflux for 24 h. A paleyellow solid separated, and was filtered off and identified as **2a** from its IR spectrum [Yield 0.068 g, 36%].

Compound 2a is highly sensitive to air, and decomposed upon storage even under nitrogen for several days, yielding the tetracarbonyl complex 1 and other unidentified products. In view of this instability of 2a satisfactory C, H, and N analyses could not be obtained.

# 3.4. $mer-|Mo(CO)_3(PR_3)(L)||R = Ph(3b)$ and R = OPh(4b)|

A solution of PPh<sub>3</sub> (0.094 g,  $3.6 \times 10^{-4}$  mol) in 20 mL of benzene was added dropwise to **2** (0.20 g,  $3 \times 10^{-4}$  mol) and the resulting yellow solution was stirred overnight. Solvent was removed *in vacuo* to obtain a yellow oil. Dissolution of the oil in toluene : petrol (1:1) and cooling of the solution afforded crystals of **3b** (Yield 0.22 g, 81%). M.p. 187°C (with dec.). Anal. Found: C, 65.7; H, 5.4; N, 5.5. C<sub>48</sub>H<sub>44</sub>MoN<sub>3</sub>P<sub>3</sub>O<sub>6</sub> calc.: C, 64.1; H, 4.9; N, 4.7%. IR (Nujol): v(CO) 1974m, 1872s, 1851s cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>-1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 7.1–8.05 (m, Ph): 5.94 (s, CH-DMP); 3.90 (m, CH-<sup>1</sup>Pr); 2.39 (s, Me-DMP): 2.11 (s, Me-DMP); 1.09 (d, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>1HI</sub> = 6.9 Hz. Me-<sup>1</sup>Pr).

TABLE 1. Crystal data and intensity collection parameters for  $fac-[Mo(CO)_3(MeCN)(L)]$  (2)

Formula	$C_{34}H_{3}$ -MoN <sub>4</sub> O <sub>3</sub> P,
Molecular weight	666.5
Crystal system	Monoclinic
Space group	$P2_1/c$
Z	-1
$a(\text{\AA})$	10.182(5)
b(Å)	16.947(2)
$c(\text{\AA})$	19.086(2)
β(°)	91.95(2)
$V(Å^3)$	3291(3)
F(000)	1279.8
Radiation (graphite	$Mo-K_{0}(\lambda = 0.71069 \text{ Å})$
monochromator)	
Linear abs. coeff. (cm <sup>-1</sup> )	4.82
Scan technique	$\omega \neq 2\theta$
θ range	1-25
Total no. of reflections	8336
Unique reflections	5785
Observed reflections $(F_{\alpha} > 5\sigma(F_{\alpha}))$	4037
R	0.0388
R <sub>w</sub>	0.0408
Largest peak in final diff.	0.129
map (e Å $^{-3}$ )	

 $R = \Sigma ||F_0| - |F_c|| / \Sigma |F_0|; R_w = [\Sigma w(|F_0| - |F_c|)^2 / \Sigma w |F_0|^2]^{1/2},$ w = 1.0000/( $\sigma^2(F)$ + 0.000001(F)<sup>2</sup>) The analogous complex **4b** was prepared from  $P(OPh)_3$  (0.111 g,  $3.6 \times 10^{-3}$  mol) and **2** (0.2 g,  $3 \times 10^{-4}$  mol). In this case, the reaction mixture was heated under reflux for 4 h (Yield 0.22 g, 76%). M.p. 190°C (with dec.). Anal. Found: C, 61.5; H, 5.2; N, 5.5.  $C_{48}H_{44}MON_3P_3O_6$  calc.: C, 60.8; H, 4.7; N, 4.4%. IR (Nujol):  $\nu(CO)$  1995m, 1890s, 1863s cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 6.88–8.3 (m, Ph); 5.95 (s, CH-DMP); 3.9 (m, CH-<sup>i</sup>Pr); 2.37 (s, Me-DMP); 2.11 (s, Me-DMP); 1.17 (d,  ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 7$  Hz, Me-<sup>i</sup>Pr); -0.08 (d,  ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 7$  Hz, Me-<sup>i</sup>Pr).

TABLE 2. Non-hydrogen atomic coordinates and isotropic thermal parameters ( $\text{\AA}^2 \times 10^4$ ) for fac-[Mo(CO)<sub>3</sub>(MeCN)(L)] (2)

Atom	<i>x</i>	у	z	U <sub>eq</sub>
Мо	0.1653(1)	0.3067(1)	0.7910(1)	392(1)
P1	0.1519(1)	0.2420(1)	0.9072(1)	388(4)
N1	0.3144(4)	0.2422(2)	0.9282(2)	432(12)
P2	0.3815(1)	0.2767(1)	0.8529(1)	443(4)
C1	0.2270(6)	0.3527(4)	0.7021(3)	680(23)
O1	0.2654(6)	0.3813(3)	0.6526(3)	1200(26)
C2	-0.0210(6)	0.3211(3)	0.7620(3)	546(19)
O2	-0.1301(4)	0.3342(3)	0.7477(2)	890(20)
C3	0.1509(5)	0.4135(3)	0.8256(3)	504(19)
O3	0.1369(4)	0.4790(2)	0.8439(2)	725(17)
C10	0.3667(6)	0.2242(3)	1.0014(3)	608(19)
C20	0.4905(8)	0.1685(4)	1.0011(4)	1033(34)
C30	0.4020(7)	0.2982(4)	1.0413(3)	710(24)
N11	0.1089(5)	0.1415(2)	0.9104(2)	563(16)
N12	0.2017(6)	0.0863(3)	0.9331(3)	802(21)
C13	0.1416(7)	0.0166(4)	0.9235(5)	913(30)
C14	0.0153(9)	0.0265(4)	0.8981(5)	1076(38)
C15	-0.0052(7)	0.1069(4)	0.8888(4)	794(26)
C16	0.2180(9)	0.0550(5)	0.9427(8)	1866(69)
C17	-0.1112(8)	0.1502(6)	0.8630(6)	1367(46)
C21	0.0713(5)	0.2795(3)	0.9839(2)	423(13)
C22	0.0171(7)	0.2315(3)	1.0343(3)	717(25)
C23	-0.0478(8)	0.2650(4)	1.0914(3)	855(29)
C24	-0.0535(6)	0.3442(4)	1.0975(3)	700(23)
C25	0.0033(6)	0.3928(3)	1.0497(3)	550(18)
C26	0.0637(5)	0.3612(3)	0.9937(3)	503(18)
C31	0.5068(5)	0.3483(3)	0.8809(3)	535(19)
C32	0.4691(5)	0.4249(3)	0.8918(3)	628(21)
C33	0.5581(8)	0.4793(4)	0.9194(4)	901(30)
C34	0.6846(8)	0.4566(5)	0.9349(4)	1029(35)
C35	0.7243(7)	0.3833(5)	0.9220(4)	1032(36)
C36	0.6371(6)	0.3282(4)	0.8944(4)	856(30)
C41	0.4775(5)	0.1995(3)	0.8131(3)	625(23)
C42	0.5568(7)	0.2223(5)	0.7569(4)	995(30)
C43	0.6232(10)	0.1637(9)	0.7213(6)	1488(56)
C44	0.6073(11)	0.0864(8)	0.7386(7)	1690(65)
C45	0.5260(8)	0.0657(5)	0.7928(5)	1255(43)
C46	0.4639(6)	0.1220(4)	0.8300(4)	849(28)
N51	0.1732(4)	0.1839(3)	0.7507(2)	553(15)
C52	0.1768(6)	0.1193(3)	0.7377(3)	605(21)
C53	0.1855(9)	0.0343(4)	0.7242(4)	1086(37)

#### 3.5. Crystal structure determination of 2

A summary of the crystal data for complex 2 and some details of the structure determination are given in Table 1. The crystal was sealed in a Lindemann capillary to protect it from atmosphere during data collection. Intensity data were collected on an Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer using graphite-monochromated Mo- $K\alpha$  radiation. Cell constants were obtained by least-squares refinement of the setting angles of 24 reflections in the range  $19 < 2\theta < 25^\circ$ . During the data collection, no decrease in intensity was revealed by periodic monitoring. Lorentz polarization corrections were applied to the intensity data, but no absorption correction was made.

The structure was solved by the Patterson Heavy atom method using the SHELXS-86 [9] program, and least-square refinements were performed by the fullmatrix method using SHELX-76 [10]. All hydrogen atoms were located from difference Fourier maps. All nonhydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically and hydrogen atoms isotropically. Non-hydrogen atom coordinates are given in Table 2.

#### 4. Results and discussion

#### 4.1. Synthesis and spectroscopic data

The mononuclear molybdenum tetracarbonyl derivative, *cis*-[Mo(CO)<sub>4</sub>(L)] (1) can be prepared by the displacement of piperidine from *cis*-[Mo(CO)<sub>4</sub>(NHC<sub>5</sub>-H<sub>10</sub>)<sub>2</sub>] by the diphosphazane ligand L (Scheme 1). The chelated complex 1 has been characterized by elemental analyses, IR and <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C and <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectroscopic data. The infrared spectrum of 1 exhibits  $\nu$ (CO) absorptions at 2030s, 1920s and 1910b cm<sup>-1</sup>, that are characteristic of a Mo(CO)<sub>4</sub> moiety bonded to a strong  $\pi$ -acceptor ligand, and are in the range observed for a similar type of diphosphazane complex [2b].

The <sup>31</sup>P NMR coordination shifts ( $\Delta \delta = \delta_{\text{(complex)}} - \delta_{\text{(ligand)}}$ ) observed for this complex are 41.5 ppm and 39.0 ppm for the diphenyl-substituted phosphorus and the pyrazolyl-substituted phosphorus respectively (Table 3). These values are close to that observed for the complex *cis*-[Mo(CO)<sub>4</sub>{Ph<sub>2</sub>PN(<sup>i</sup>Pr)PPh<sub>2</sub>}] [2b].

The <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum displays four different chemical shifts for carbonyl carbons (Fig. 1). The signals centered at 219.1 ppm and 218.1 ppm show coupling constants ( ${}^{2}J_{CP}$ ) in the range 10 Hz and 25–29 Hz; these are assigned to the carbonyls in equatorial positions and *trans*- to the phosphorus atoms. The signals at 214.4 ppm and 207.2 ppm show coupling constants in the range 7–10 Hz, and are assigned to the carbonyls in axial positions.

Reaction of fac-[Mo(CO)<sub>3</sub>(MeCN)<sub>3</sub>] with an equimolar quantity of the new unsymmetrical diphosphazane L results in the quantitative formation of fac-[Mo(CO)<sub>3</sub>(MeCN)(L)] (2) [Scheme 1]. The IR spectrum of 2 shows three carbonyl stretching vibrations at 1938, 1857 and 1791, close to the values observed for the molybdenum [11] and tungsten [12] complexes of dppm. The <sup>-1</sup>H NMR spectrum shows two different resonances for the two methyl groups (0.01 ppm and 1.15 ppm) of the isopropyl substituent owing to the presence of an adjacent chiral phosphorus centre. The high shielding of one of the methyl groups ( $\delta$ 0.01) suggests that these protons lie in the shielding zone of one of the phenyl groups on phosphorus. A single crystal X-ray crystallographic study supports this conclusion (see below).

The <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectrum of 2 shows an AX pattern and the chemical shifts are shown in Table 3. The coordination shifts observed for this complex are 45.7 ppm and 39.4 ppm for the diphenyl-substituted phosphorus and the pyrazolyl-substituted phosphorus respectively. Thus the PPh<sub>2</sub> phosphorus atom in the tricarbonyl complex 2 is deshielded relative to that of the tetracarbonyl complex 1, apparently because of the presence of the weakly coordinated acetonitrile ligand in place of a strong  $\pi$ -acceptor carbonyl ligand.

The tricarbonyl complex 2 was heated under reflux in acetonitrile for 1 h to find out whether the pyrazolyl nitrogen would replace the coordinated acetonitrile ligand. After 1 h, signals resulting from the starting material 2 had disappeared from the <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectrum, and only the signals from the tetracarbonyl complex 1 were observed. The tricarbonyl complex 2 is not stable in hot acetonitrile solution and undergoes a redistribution to give the stable tetracarbonyl complex 1. In contrast, heating a solution of 2 in heptane (a non-coordinating solvent) gives an insoluble pale-yellow compound 2a in low yield (20%) along with the tetracarbonyl complex (1) as the major product. Com-

TABLE 3. <sup>31</sup>P NMR data for complexes 1-4b <sup>a</sup>

pound 2a shows three new carbonyl stretching vibrations in the IR spectrum at 1925, 1832 and 1814  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ . This compound also exhibits NMR spectroscopic features different from those of 2 (see section 2). In its mass spectrum the highest peak at m/z = 627 can be assigned to the molecular ion fac-[Mo(CO)<sub>3</sub>(L)]<sup>+</sup>. These results indicate that the diphosphazane in 2a acts as a tridentate ligand, bonded through the two phosphorus atoms and the pyrazolyl pyridinic nitrogen atom. The shift in the carbonyl stretching vibration from 1791 cm<sup>-1</sup> for compound 2, to 1814 cm<sup>-1</sup> for compound 2a, supports the above conclusion that the  $\sigma$ -donor acctonitrile ligand is replaced by the weakly  $\pi$ -accepting pyrazolyl nitrogen. Pyrolysis of the tetracarbonyl complex 1 for 24 h in boiling heptane also gives 2a.

The <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectrum of **2a** shows an AX pattern. As a result of the tridentate coordination of the diphosphazane ligand *via* the two phosphorus and pyridinic nitrogen atoms, the  $\delta_p$  values for PPh<sub>2</sub> and PPh(DMP) phosphorus nuclei are very different from those observed for **2**. More significantly, the pyrazolyl substituted phosphorus in **2a** is deshielded to about 8 ppm, whereas the PPh<sub>2</sub> phosphorus is appreciably shielded (about 20 ppm). Nevertheless the PPh<sub>2</sub> phosphorus in **2a** is deshielded relative to that in the free ligand.

#### 4.2. X-ray structure of 2

The solid-state structure of 2 is established by X-ray erystallography. A perspective view of the molecule is shown in Fig. 2. Selected bond lengths and bond angles are shown in Table 4. The diphosphazane ligand is coordinated to molybdenum in a chelating mode utilizing its two phosphorus donor sites. The pyrazolyl nitrogen is not involved in coordination to the metal. The

Compound	PPh <sub>2</sub>		PPh(DMP)		J <sub>PP</sub>		
	δ	β	δ	18	(Hz)		
Ph <sub>2</sub> PN( <sup>i</sup> Pr)P(Ph)(DMP)(L)	43.8	-	71.6		29.8		
cis-[Mo(CO) <sub>4</sub> (L)](1)	85.3	41.5	110.6	39.0	10.8		
$fac-Mo(CO)_3(MeCN)(L)(2)$	89.5	45.7	111.0	39.4	25.0		
fac-Mo(CO) <sub>3</sub> (L) (2a)	69.3	25.5	118.6	47.0	25.6		
Compound	δ				$^2 J_{\rm PP}$ (H:	z)	
	PR,	$PR_3(\Lambda)$	PPh <sub>2</sub> (M)	PPh(DMP)(X)	AM	MX	AX
3a fac-	PPh 3	34.5	88.5	111.0	22.6	31.9	29.0
3b mer-	PPh ,	53.5	77.8	128.1	26.4	10.0	88.0
<b>4a</b> fac-	P(OPh) <sub>3</sub>	169.5	90.2	96.1	34.7	7.0	143.0
4b mer-	P(OPh) <sub>3</sub>	169,7	86.1	120.1	37.0	8.8	164.8

<sup>a</sup> Measured at 81 MHz in toluene for compounds 2 4b, and in dichloromethane for L and 1;  $\Delta \delta = \delta$ (complex)  $-\delta$ (ligand)



Scheme 1. (i)  $Mo(CO)_4(NHC_5H_{10})_2$ ; (ii)  $Mo(CO)_3(MeCN)_3$ ; (iii) heptane 96°C, 24 h; (iv) heptane 96°C, 1 h; (v)  $PR'_3$  (R' = Ph or OPh).

acetonitrile ligand is present in the axial position *trans*to a CO atom, and the other two carbonyls are present *trans*- to phosphorus atoms.

The coordination geometry of the complex is that of an octahedron with a slight distortion because of the small bite angle of the diphosphazane ligand (P1MoP2



Fig. 1. The  ${}^{13}$ C NMR (100.6 MHz) spectrum ( ${}^{13}$ CO region only) of cis-[Mo(CO)<sub>4</sub>(L)] (1).



Fig. 2. ORTEP diagram of fac-[Mo(CO)<sub>3</sub>(MeCN)(L)] (2).

angle is 64.2(1)°). The P–N–P angle is 102.5(2)°, close to that observed for the tetracarbonyl molybdenum diphosphazane complexes cis-[Mo(CO)<sub>4</sub>RN(PX<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>] [2b]. The geometry of the complex is similar to that observed for the analogous dppm tungsten complex fac-[W(CO)<sub>3</sub>(MeCN)(dppm)] [12].

The P1-N1 bond distance (1.689(4) Å) is slightly shorter than the P2–N1 distance (1.715(4) Å). This difference is presumably because of the increased  $\pi$ bonding in the P(1)-N(1) segment arising from the increased electron withdrawing effect of the dimethyl pyrazole group, as observed for the unsymmetrical diphosphazane ligand  $Ph_2PN(^iPr)P(O_2C_6H_4)$  [2a]. The Mo-P1 bond length (2.482(3) Å) at the pyrazole-substituted phosphorus is shorter than the Mo-P2 bond length (2.515(2) Å) at the diphenyl-substituted phosphorus; the distances are comparable to the M-P distances in cis-[Mo(CO)<sub>4</sub>{PhN(P(NHPh)<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>}] [13] and  $cis-[W(CO)_4{^iPrN(PPh_2)_2}]$  [2b] respectively. In the tungsten dppm complex the mean W-P bond length is (2.51 Å). Evidently the pyrazolyl-phosphorus acts as a slightly stronger  $\pi$ -acceptor ligand than the diphenylsubstituted phosphorus. The three Mo-CO bond lengths are 1.988(6), 1.973(6) and 1.934(6) Å, where the shortest distance pertains to the carbonyl ligand located trans- to the acetonitrile group. The axial acetonitrile ligand is slightly tilted towards the diphosphazane ligand (mean N-Mo-P 85°); this tilt is larger than that observed for  $fac-[W(CO)_2(MeCN)(dppm)]$ . The Mo-N bond distance, 2.221(5) Å, is also longer than the corresponding distance in the tungsten dppm complex, 2.190(5) Å, suggesting that the acetonitrile ligand in 2 would be more labile.

#### 4.3. Reactions of 2 with $PR_3$

The acetonitrile complex 2 reacts with triphenylphosphine or triphenylphosphite to yield initially fac-[Mo(CO)<sub>3</sub>(PR<sub>3</sub>)(L)] (3a or 4a), which undergoes intramolecular rearrangement to give the *mer*-[Mo-(CO)<sub>3</sub>(PR<sub>3</sub>)(L)] (3b or 4b) complex (Scheme 1). The reactions were monitored by <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectroscopy; the *fac*- and *mer*- complexes give clear AMX type spectra (Fig. 3). The intramolecular *fac*-  $\rightarrow$  *mer*- rearrangement is fast in the case of the PPh<sub>3</sub> complex, and is essentially complete in 6 h at room temperature. In addition to the two AMX patterns, another AX pattern is observed that arises from *cis*-[Mo(CO)<sub>4</sub>(L)] (1) formed by the decomposition of the complex 2.

In the reaction of  $P(OPh_3)$  with 2, even after one day in solution at room temperature, the peaks result-

TABLE 4. Selected bond distances (Å) and bond angles (°) in  $fac-[Mo(CO)_3(MeCN)(L)]$  (2)

Bond distances (	Å)	Bond angles (*)	
Mo-P1	2.482(2)	C3-Mo-N51	177.7(2)
Mo-P2	2.515(2)	C2-Mo-N51	93.7(2)
Mo-C1	1.988(6)	С2-Мо-С3	84.1(2)
Mo-C2	1.973(6)	C1-Mo-N51	93.2(2)
Mo-C3	1.934(6)	C1-Mo-C3	87.4(3)
Mo-N51	2.221(5)	C1-Mo-C2	92.3(3)
P1-N1	1.689(4)	P2-Mo-N51	86.1(1)
P1-N11	1.760(4)	Р2-Мо-С3	95.9(2)
P1-C21	1.817(5)	P2-Mo-C2	167.1(2)
N1-P2	1.715(4)	P2-Mo-C1	100.6(2)
N1-C10	1.509(7)	P1-Mo-N51	84.2(1)
P2-C31	1.827(5)	P1-Mo-C3	95.8(2)
P2-C41	1.815(6)	P1-Mo-C2	102.9(2)
C1-O1	1.143(8)	P1-Mo-C1	164.6(2)
C2-O2	1.156(7)	P1-Mo-P2	64.2(1)
C3-O3	1.174(6)	Mo-P1-C21	127.3(2)
N11-N12	1.388(7)	Mo-P1-N11	118.7(2)
N11-C15	1.353(9)	Mo-P1-N1	97.4(2)
N12-C13	1.340(9)	N11-P1-C21	101.0(2)
C21-C22	1.388(7)	N1-P1-C21	105.9(2)
N51-C52	1.123(7)	NI-PI-N11	103.7(2)
		P1-N1-C10	122.1(4)
		P1-N1-P2	102.5(2)
		P2-N1-C10	134.9(4)
		Mo-P2-N1	95.5(2)
		N1-P2-C41	109.9(2)
		N1-P2-C31	105.9(2)
		Mo-P2-C41	115.1(2)
		Mo-P2-C31	126.5(2)
		C31-P2-C41	102.7(3)
		Mo-Cl-Ol	177.2(6)
		Mo-C2-O2	175.3(5)
		Mo-C3-O3	176,4(5)
		P1-N11-C15	128.4(4)
		P1-N11-N12	119.7(4)
		P1-C21-C26	118.8(3)
		P1-C21-C22	123.7(4)
		Mo-N51-C52	172.5(4)



Fig. 3. The <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectrum (81 MHz) of the products of the reaction of fac-[Mo(CO)<sub>3</sub>(MeCN)(L)] (2) with PPh<sub>3</sub> in benzene: (a) after 30 min; (b) after 6 h. (For assignment of the observed resonances see Table 3).

ing from the initially formed *fac*- isomer are still seen. Complete conversion can be achieved by heating the solution under reflux in benzene for 4 h. The difference in the rate of *fac*- $\rightarrow$ *mer*- rearrangement for the PPh<sub>3</sub> and P(OPh<sub>3</sub>) ligands parallels the relative rate of intramolecular isomerization of [M(CO)<sub>4</sub>L<sub>2</sub>] {L = PR<sub>3</sub>, P(OR)<sub>3</sub>} complexes [14]. There are insufficient data to allow clear separation of the electronic and steric factors responsible for this difference between PR<sub>3</sub> and P(OR)<sub>3</sub> type ligands in isomerization reactions [14] but it is conceivable that steric factors dominate in the present case. (The Tolman cone angle for PPh<sub>3</sub> is 145°, compared with 121° for P(OPh)<sub>3</sub> [15]).

In the *mer*- isomers, the DMP-substituted phosphorus is *trans*- to the  $PR_3$ ; this is obvious from the P-P coupling constants observed (Table 3).

#### Acknowledgment

We thank RSIC, Lucknow for obtaining the FABmass spectrum.

#### References

- 1 R.B. King, Acc. Chem. Res., 13 (1980) 243.
- 2 (a) R.P. Kamalesh Babu, S.S. Krishnamurthy and M. Nethaji, *Heteroatom Chem.*, 2 (1991) 477; (b) M.S. Balakrishna, T.K. Prakasha, S.S. Krishnamurthy, U. Siriwardane and N.S. Hosmane, J. Organomet. Chem., 390 (1990) 203; (c) M.S. Balakrishna, S.S. Krishnamurthy and H. Manohar. Organometallics, 10 (1991) 2522.

- 3 (a) J.S. Field, R.J. Haines, J. Sundermeyer and S.F. Woolam, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., (1991) 1382; (b) J.T. Mague and M.P. Johnson, Organometallics, 9 (1990) 1254; (c) J. Ellermann, F.A. Knoch and K.J. Meier, Z. Naturforsch., 46B (1991) 1699; (d) R. Uson, A. Laguna, M. Laguna and M.C. Gimeno, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., (1989) 1883.
- 4 R.J. Cross, T.H. Green and R. Keat, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., (1976) 1424.
- 5 Yield 60–70%. Anal. Found C, 70.1; H, 6.9; N, 9.3.  $C_{28}H_{29}N_3P_2$  calc.: C, 70.1; H, 6.6; N, 9.4%.
- 6 D.J. Darensbourg and R.L. Kump, Inorg. Chem., 17 (1978) 2680.
- 7 (a) C.P. Tate, W.R. Knipple and J.M. Augl, *Inorg. Chem.*, *I* (1962) 433; (b) R.B. King and A. Fronzaglia, *Inorg. Chem.*, *5* (1966) 1837.

- 8 V. Sreenivasa Reddy, S.S. Krishnamurthy and M. Nethaji, J. Organomet. Chem., 438 (1992) 99.
- 9 G.M. Sheldrick, SHELXS-86, Univ. of Göttingen, Göttingen, 1986.
  10 G.M. Sheldrick, SHELX-76, Univ. of Cambridge, Cambridge, England, 1976.
- 11 W.A. Schenk and G.H.J. Hilpert, Chem. Ber., 122 (1989) 1623.
- 12 D.J. Darensbourg, D.J. Zalewski, C. Plepys and C. Campana, Inorg. Chem., 26 (1987) 3727.
- 13 A. Tarassoli, H-J. Chen, M.L. Thompson, V.S. Allured, R.C. Haltiwanger and A.D. Norman, *Inorg. Chem.*, 25 (1986) 4152.
- 14 J.A.S. Howell and P.M. Burkinshaw, Chem. Rev., 83 (1983) 557.
- 15 C.A. Tolman, J. Am. Chem. Soc., (1970) 2956.